Honor Council Meeting Minutes from February 12, 2017

Members Present: Lynnie Woodruff ‘17 (Co-Chair), Leah Budson ‘19 (Co-Chair), Anna Saum ’18 (Co-Sec), Arlene Casey ’19 (Co-Sec), Sophie McGlynn ’18 (Librarian), Riley Wheaton ’20, Danny Mayo ’19, Alana Tartaro ’17, Frannie Gascoigne ’17, Hannah Abrahams ’17, Tori Fleischman ’18, Devin Louis ’18, Joe Spir ’20, Hanae Togami ’19, Carley Pazzi ’20 (Absent: Cesar Meric ’20, Yixuan Zeng ’18)

Public Portion Guests:

A. Announcements
   a. Plenary
      i. Sign up to be door checkers
      ii. Read the resolutions
      iii. Table for Honor Code ratification (must do up to 8 hours)
         1. Provide computers for people to ratify
         2. Talk about the Honor Code
   b. Website stuff
      i. Send photos and bio stuff!
   c. Meeting participation
      i. Everyone should talk in meetings, best for consensus
      ii. Librarian will check in with everyone on council individually about participation and meeting practices
   d. Consensus
      i. Review

B. Committee Updates
   a. Extracurriculars:
      i. Discussed where code extends in regards to extracurriculars/sports
      ii. First event will be about sports and the code
   b. Abstracts:
      i. Editing abstracts
   c. SIA:
      i. Will meet tonight
   d. Forums and Community Outreach:
      i. Tabling at Plenary to get feedback on Honor Code/Honor Council
      ii. Temporary Tattoos - share ideas with the committee
   e. Faculty Outreach:
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i. Goals: create resource for new faculty about the Code, organize Pizza, Professors, and the Code
ii. Reaching out to department heads-going to department meetings to address concerns about the Code
iii. Trying to find resources created by previous parties

C. Plenary Resolutions
   a. Academic Code changes
      i. Reads change to text regarding separation and plagiarism
      ii. An alumnus commented that the text was added because faculty were concerned that Honor Council wasn’t taking plagiarism seriously enough
      iii. Provost was comfortable with the resolution not focussing exclusively on Plagiarism
   b. MJR edits
      i. Explanation of what multicultural juror requirement is
      ii. Changing language to remove gender binary, likely won’t effectively change jury composition
      iii. Thought about how this might apply to POC v Non-POC requirement
      iv. How will this work with juror surveys?
         1. Write-in option
         2. Are co-secs making decisions about what genders are the same?
         3. Is having options of male, female, and write-in still perpetuating the gender binary?
         4. Say "man" and "woman" instead of "male" and "female"
         5. Perhaps have a write-in box asking "please specify your gender identity and how you would like to be classified"
         6. This should probably go into the resolution
         7. Concerns about this being outdated, changing with co-secs, so feels strange to mandate in Constitution, but one of co-secs can speak to this at plenary
   c. 2nd Jury Resolution (AKA Hung Jury [AKA NeverEnding Story])
      i. Description of the resolution
      ii. Is the “discussed extensively” up for interpretation?
         1. Would like to make guidelines for this, librarian can help with this decision
      iii. Related the earlier question about how to deal with fact finding - how to deal with conflicting statements
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iv. Could open this up to a more general conversation of what to do when parties have conflicting stories
   1. Is a 2nd jury the best solution?

v. Do you think this is strongly worded enough?

vi. How many council members are needed to consent?
   1. Another reason for guidelines, probably treated like a jury, not a council meeting

vii. How to deal with HoCo jurors potentially knowing that the previous jury had come to a statement of non-decision?
   1. Honor Council jurors wouldn't discuss it

viii. 2nd Statement to explain circumstances/feelings about 2nd jury

ix. Would the parties be asked not to share during the second fact-finding that this was the second jury?
   1. Hopefully would talk to a member of exec board about how this works before the second trial

x. Would a statement of non-decision be introduced alongside Violation and Non-violation?
   1. Not introduce it to the jury unless it starts to head that way, note in emails to parties that this can happen in extreme cases if statement goes to more than one deliberations meeting

xi. Would you add a guideline that before coming to this decision that the jury should read NeverEnding story and council should be familiar with it.

d. Academic Mediation/Alternate Trial Procedure