1. Introductions because Honor Board is here!
2. General Bi-Co Collaboration Plan
   a. Priya – no current way for the home institution to know if a student has had a proceeding at a host institution. Created the “liaison” position to keep Honor Board/Council and respective Deans’ Offices in the loop. The liaison would have to be able to come to set scheduling, not to be accommodated
      i. Florencia – how would you deal with the possibility of the liaison having a conflict of interest with the student involved?
         1. Priya/Anna – we could run it by the parties before the scheduling happens
      ii. Abby – so what is the real job of this liaison? Could they talk?
         1. Yes
      iii. Ian – what is the purpose of this liaison, couldn’t we just send the paperwork back and forth?
         1. Jacob Axelrod – emailing can be difficult, and it would allow for a frame of reference of the other institution’s Honor Code
            a. Rushmore/Ian – I don’t see the need of that role
            b. Anna – The way I see it, it doesn’t hurt anything and it makes communication easier
            c. Jacob Axelrod – also makes someone responsible for that specific case’s record keeping
   2. Rushmore – seems to diminish the responsibility of the student to understand the Code of the institution at which they are studying.
   3. Ian – In that case, would the nuanced information be better to get on a case by case basis
      a. Priya – While that makes sense, it doesn’t completely cover the role of the liaison. Need them there for the best, clearest communication between a proceeding here and informing the home institution. Easy to keep people accountable.
   4. Emily – worries about the idea of a party thinking that a liaison would be there to sort of stand up for them, or what have you
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a. Priya – I think that could be an issue, but I think the Chair could clarify the role of the liaison to the involved parties in the preliminary meeting

5. Florencio – how would the liaison make sure that the information is kept in case they graduate? How would it be kept confidential?
a. Anna/ Priya – would be put in the student’s file or trial spreadsheet immediately after the proceeding

iv. Jacob Axelrod – wants to remind us all that this is a rough plan, and we are trying to get feedback
1. Emily – general feedback?
a. Abby – I think it is a good idea, strengthens the idea of the Bi-Co Community. More restorative or friendly
b. Noah – not convinced the liaison will add anything, but don’t think she/he will be detrimental either
   i. Susie – a liaison would be able to give feedback on whether a resolution would be a good idea and if they were to eventually get consenting power, that would have to go through Plenary
   ii. Honor Council members not happy with this idea (consenting power)
      1. Priya – that is something we think would be valuable, but not something we are focusing on right now. The liaison can also make sure that institution specific resolutions are carried out
   iii. Anna – want to clarify that consenting power is something we should talk about further down the line, but that feedback from the home institution would be helpful.

c. Rushmore – Let’s say I grossly plagiarize my econ final, I go to Honor Board and they recommend separation from the Haverford Community. As it stands now, who at Haverford is told that?
   i. Emily – It’s Haverford’s decision
      1. Priya – The President is told about separation
         a. Outburst!!
   ii. Rushmore – I see some use in the liaison, but…
      1. Emily – Spirit of self-governance
      2. Priya – Composition of our hearings are different. Having point person
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who is always a student and acts as a liaison makes it a really solid plan.

d. Emily – I think we’ve come up with a lot of advantages in doing this. I want to clarify what this will mean.
  i. Priya – Would you mind if we list these kinds of things?
    1. Florencia – We will send you minutes

v. Honor Board members leave

  1. Jacob Axelrod – To be clear, the consenting liaison idea is Susie’s idea
     a. Emily – want to make it clear that this is not something that is going to happen in the future. If the system doesn’t work, we can fix it, but that is not a goal of ours

  2. Emily is concerned about scheduling
     a. Gabi – does it have to be the same liaison for the whole trial?
        i. Yes
     b. Anna – I think we can try to accommodate liaisons, because we don’t have that many proceedings with BMC. We can put language in there that says “to the best of our ability”

  3. Ian is still concerned with having a Board member in a proceeding because it can alter the feel of the room
     a. Alex – the bigger issue here is that right now, BMC does not have to respect any of our resolutions, which the liaison can have more clout to make sure they are done. Home institution is much more likely to listen to their own student
     b. Ian – I see that, but am worried that it would change the trial process negatively
     c. Noah – could influence it for the better, better knowledge of what the resources are on each campus
     d. Abby – also give us better information about what each institution does/how they function

  4. Rushmore – seems that the core issue that needs to be resolved that resolutions are carried out by the home institution. Couldn’t they just email them to the proper institution?
     a. Florencia – At first I thought that, but it’s often hard to understand where resolutions are coming from. They don’t always make complete sense unless you were in the room. If we saw absurd resolutions, we
might be tempted to ignore them. A physical person who can explain that would be really beneficial.

b. Anna – Additionally, when you serve on a trial you become more invested in that case and having someone who was invested in that case would mean that they have a greater personal involvement in making sure something happens here. Psychologically it would be helpful.

c. Jacob Axelrod – How comfortable are we having the other institution enforce our resolutions? If we had a Haverford case with a BMC student, are we comfortable giving BMC power of enforcing those?

d. Noah – You didn’t mention what to do with records in cases that don’t have liaisons such as mediations and Deans Panels.
   i. Jacob Axelrod – You would just send over the abstract. The problem with mediation is that it’s between two people and the one or two mediators. A liaison changes the dynamic of a mediation.
      1. Emily – In that case we still need some structure
      2. Jacob Axelrod – You could have someone on the Council/Board who is a point person.
         a. Lucian – I’m not sure you even need that communication when it is mediation. It’s a specific thing between two parties at the one school. If it’s just mediation, there isn’t the same level of seriousness.

e. Jacob Axelrod – Their Deans Panel is very different than ours. theirs involves Honor Board and ours doesn’t
   i. Almost the same as Joint Panel
   ii. They use it for the same things as ours, but involve students
   iii. Anna – I would not be comfortable with a BMC student sitting in on a Haverford Deans Panel.
   iv. Florencia – I am concerned largely with the emotional and legal issues implications of having a student on a Deans’ Panel
      1. Deans are protected by college lawyers, students aren’t
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2. Rushmore – In that case does a Dean become a liaison?
3. Jacob Axelrod – We could talk to the deans about that
4. Anna – Bring that up with Martha
f. Noah – Have you thought about having them send chair’s report to other school
g. Abby – I’m concerned about confidentiality in a BMC trial because of Honor Board knowing all identities
   i. Anna – The whole Honor Board knows everyone involved in cases
   ii. Jon – They have to know
      1. Florencia – No
   iii. Gabi – They have to defend resolutions to Honor Board, right?
      1. They can use pseudonyms
   iv. Abby – I’m concerned because when picking a liaison they ask all of Honor Board. I want to make sure that it is done in the same way as a jury where the potential liaisons are presented first
      1. Anna – I agree with that for members of the Haverford community, but not for Bryn Mawr students, because I don’t want us to impose our standards on confidentiality for their students

5. Plenary?
   a. When and if do we need to send this to Plenary?
      i. Jacob Axelrod – I can see it both ways
      ii. Florencia – I think this definitely needs to go through Plenary because it is changing Constitutional language and until Fall Plenary we can consider this working on a Plenary resolution
      iii. Noah – need a concrete proposal before we decide on if this is going to require going through Plenary
      iv. Florencia – but we need to let Honor Board know that there is highly probable that this will have to go through Plenary

vi. Will revisit this issue
3. Jacob Horn and Calendar and Scheduling Committee
   a. Who is on it?
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could be sent to whoever is requesting the room saying that they can’t use it.

iv. Josh – Room reserves take time, and proceedings that need to be reserved quickly.

v. Jacob Horn – We will work really hard to make sure the council meeting room is off the system

vi. Emily – What if we had a class of rooms that don’t go to the regular calendar system

c. Anna – Can you set up a system where some meetings get different classifications like “Private” and get Honor Council lumped into that account. No one knows what kind of meeting that is.

d. Gabi – I was thinking there could be a two-calendar system. One for booking that shows what is available and a second one which is public and people can see events that wanted to be public

4. Jacob Horn – To clarify, there could be an option not to have something shown on the calendar, and certain accounts could do that. But there needs to be a system where if someone requests a room that isn’t available, they get a response about it being private.

a. Emily – Wouldn’t having someone overseeing it be helpful in that case?

5. Anna – Have a calendar where things are public, when someone requests a room but it hasn’t been authorized, have it come up as a gray pending box, and the person authorizing the calendar knows who has priority. Groups like Honor Council and QDG can make those pending boxes

a. Rushmore – What happens when it’s the day of the meeting and it’s still a gray meeting?

i. Everyone else – doesn’t matter, just looks like no one has bothered to confirm the room yet

b. Jacob Horn – I can take that to the committee, and having these suggestions will be really helpful

i. Florencia – For the non-students on the committee, how aware are they of how pressing this is?

1. Jacob Horn – No one has a sense of that right now

4. Committee Updates

a. Student Outreach

i. Ratification Comments
1. Noah hasn’t merged them yet, Jon will do it in the next few days. They are all edited.

ii. T-Shirts
   1. Old committee didn’t tell Gabi anything helpful
   2. Need to get moving on the t-shirts ASAP, takes a long time, only have 6 weeks left in the semester
   3. Kate has a design, thinks it’s really close to being finished will have the definite design by Friday and will contact Jason about the t-shirt providers

b. Faculty Outreach
   i. Pizza, Cult Heroes, and the Code
      1. Will make posters for it
   ii. Plagiarism Statement
      1. FAILz – but really. Certain professors want us to use existing resources. Deborah Sherman just doesn’t want to do it.
      2. Emma – Jill Stauffer is very excited to do it
      3. Many professors are just saying this isn’t their responsibility
      4. Jacob Horn – I think this is a great idea, other institutions do it, very clear and helpful. The statement means that plagiarism doesn’t become that nuanced, which is better for professors. In the long run, this makes it better and easier for them.
      5. Decide to keep going with this endeavor, but be more careful, because professors can be touchy
      6. Jacob Horn offers to send us Hopkins’ version of this so we have something to show professors what we are looking for

c. Tri-Co
   i. Swat is still MIA
   ii. Will meet with Martha by our next meeting (3 weeks)
   iii. Reattempt contacting Swat

d. Abstract Committee
   i. May or may not have met

e. JSAAPP
   i. Alcohol Awareness Week is two weeks after Spring Break
   ii. Tazing – We sent out the email about closed containers and not assaulting police

5. Ice Cream Break!