Public Portion Minutes from March 27, 2011

Members Present: Noah, Emily, Anna, Jacob, Gabi, Jon, Alex, Abby, Lucian, Rushmore, Emma, Jamey, Florencia, Kate
Members Absent: Ian, Tom, Josh
Guests: None

1. Thank You Notes
   a. Lucian did them

2. Student Outreach
   a. T-Shirts are almost ready

3. Faculty Outreach
   a. Anna – Cult Heroes and the Code was awesome
      i. Emma – that was our main update
   b. Plagiarism Statement
      i. Still in the works for the professors (Jill Stauffer for sure, Lynne Butler is reluctant, maybe James Krippner) will actively recruit other professors
         1. Check in with Casey Londergan (chem.)
      ii. Priority is to get people and then maybe have them start working on it over the summer
      iii. Could just have Curtis Greene be a consultant, but do we want to someone to actually do it?

4. Tri-Co
   a. Martha will email Swat. That conversation/case information exchange will go through the deans
   b. Priya and Jacob wrote a statement for HB and HC
      i. Anna reads it to us
      ii. Emily explains some of the strange language
      iii. Lucian – would it be all past cases that would come up?
         1. Emily – we should change that
      iv. Jamey – I wasn’t here earlier, so does this follow the previous document we made up for previous trials here
         1. Yes
   c. Abby - want to include that it is explicit that the liaison will not be a consenting member of the jury, otherwise think it is fine
   d. Clarified who the liaison is
   e. Anna – guys, how do you feel about having Priya and Jacob work on this?
      i. We like that idea
   f. Abby has concerns about how the liaisons will be chosen without revealing the parties involved.
   g. Emily – so Jacob and Priya need to talk about when the parties are from both institutions
      i. Jacob – That’s feasible
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h. Emily – I know we didn’t want to get into specific details, but here is the first sentence rewritten
i. Emily wants to specify what “relevant documents” are
   i. Jacob – ok. Does anyone else have thoughts on that? The idea would be that there would be an abstract, with the person’s real name at the top
   ii. Everyone likes that idea
j. Jamey – do deans at BMC get information about their students’ proceedings?
   i. Jacob – In the case of a Haverford student, Bryn Mawr’s Dean gets all the information
   ii. Jamey – then why don’t we just have the deans communicate
   iii. Emily – because that wouldn’t address the need to make informed resolutions
   iv. Jamey – I just don’t think that is difficult to do
   v. Alex – I think the liaison is important because they can also justify the rationale behind resolutions so that BMC actually enforces them
   vi. Jacob – Also, this makes one person responsible for the trial, instead of having the trial slip through the cracks
   vii. Other members explain to Jamey that we are discussing how we envision this position, not that we have already decided to have it

5. New Honor Council Website is beautiful
6. JSAAPP
   a. Alcohol Awareness Week was last week, movie moved to this Thursday, beer goggles corn hole is Friday
7. Abstract Committee
   a. May or may not have met