Giles approached an Honor Council member with a problem which he thought was an infraction of the Social Honor Code. Giles revealed that Chris, who was off the meal plan, had been "stealing" meals from the dining center. The matter was brought to Council who consensed to a trial.

The trial began with Giles discussing what he thought was the infraction. Giles had gone to dinner one night with Chris, Chris's girlfriend, and several other people. Giles saw Chris enter without paying for the meal. Chris's action disturbed Giles, but, when Giles mentioned it to his other friends, they did not seem concerned. After mentioning to Chris that he was disturbed, Giles went to the card checker and paid for Chris's meal. He also realized that Chris had been eating Continental Breakfasts without paying for them. Giles and Chris later discussed their differences of opinion and concluded that the matter should be brought to Honor Council.

After Giles had spoken Chris began to discuss what he had done. He said that he had "stolen" meals for two reasons: he often had had his girlfriend over for dinner so she was not always eating meals in the Dining Center, therefore, why could he not eat these meals? It did not cost anybody anything, and he did not eat $4.50 worth of food; and, it was so easy to walk through and get a free meal.

The jury spent a lot of time discussing why his actions constituted stealing and thus broke the Social Honor Code. It was stressed that he was stealing from the community because he was causing the student body to pay for meals that they should not have to pay for. Chris also admitted to eating a lot more than the one dinner and two or three breakfasts. He estimated that he had stolen approximately 8 breakfasts and 3 dinners. He did inform the jury that at various times he had bought meals and only occasionally had he stolen them. (Note: DAKA charges the school for the food it serves and this fee is then divided amongst the students to pay. Both DAKA and the administration realize that not everyone is going to eat every meal and the preparation of the food and the cost of the meal plan reflect this realization.)

The resolution of the trial was that Chris would pay for the meals that he had stolen, write a letter to the community about his case, and write a letter to the incoming freshmen.
During second semester James reported to Housing that he was moving out of his HPA apartment. However, the Housing Office suspected that he was not moving off-campus but that he was moving into another HPA apartment, where his girlfriend lived. He requested a refund on his room and the Housing office waited until he had confirmed his actual living situation. The matter was brought to the attention of the Honor Council Chairperson, who after discussion with James, confirmed the Housing Office's suspicions. James agreed not to demand the refund. The jury was called to decide if James's attempt to get his housing money returned from the College constituted a violation of the Social Honor Code.

The jury had a difficult time deciding if James's actions represented a breach in the Honor Code. Several jurors contended that listing an off-campus address was nothing more than listing a residence, regardless of where one spent their nights. However, other jurors felt quite strongly that James' actions were an attempt to steal money from the college. They felt that if James were living in campus housing, he must pay his room fee to the college. The jury was informed by the Housing Office that James's presence in his girlfriend's room had discouraged other college women from moving into the apartment. The jury consensed that a violation had occurred.

In the circumstantial portion of the trial, James admitted that he was wrong. James stated that "greed" was his motivation for trying to get the money.

In the resolution portion of the trial James proposed a volunteer service he had worked out with the counseling department and to discuss his case at an open Honor Council meeting.

The jury agreed that this was an appropriate resolution but decided that, in addition to performing his volunteer work, he would write a letter to the News explaining his action, write a letter for freshman orientation, and serve on a mock trial jury.