Began with a moment of silence.

1. Committee Updates
   a. Community Education and Outreach
      i. Madi updates on JSAAPP discussion. Quite a lot of people present, JSAAP coheads, Quaker Bouncer board, some SC people, a few HC people. Talk was focused on Snowball, and why people think it is that incidents tend to occur on big event nights. Dean Watter was there and gave some insight about how Safety and Security handles it. Some talk about the social code, but more generic. JSAAPP coheads said that people think that there are more incidents than there are, and Madi suggested to publish those statistics more often. Quaker Bouncers brought up ways to confront people before it’s a problem. People don’t want to be insulting, but some suggestions about how to help your friends. Minutes are up on website
      ii. Poster this week for finals. Hosting campus wide t-shirt design contest with prizes. Also working on survey.
   b. College and Student Organizations
      i. James updates on JSAAPP meeting, that discussed Snowball. Members of JSAAPP were concerned about proposal to have substance-free freshman hall, so there’s discussion around that.
      ii. Santiago gave update on ISO and affinity groups survey. It’s been difficult to get survey out, but now have a list of emails for the groups themselves and can send it out.
      iii. Writing Center planning on having two workshops at the beginning of next semester.
   c. Plenary Resolutions
      i. Kyle gave update. They’re writing the planned resolutions.
ii. Last year’s ISO co-heads do not support international student resolutions.

2. Committee Assessments
   a. [fill out assessments within committees]

3. Guideline Review
   a. New guideline for “Party not Completing and/or Breaking Resolutions”
      i. Discussion about whether or not to impose separation on students who haven’t completed resolutions and are on college leave. Decide to change it so that the policy is the same (conditional separation) for both parties off and on campus
      ii. Add expectation that confronted party will provide contact info if they are off campus and will notify council if they are unavailable for contact during a certain time. Potential to put in Special Concerns, but a concern that it should be a constitutional not a guideline change.
      iii. Irene brought up need for the trial parties to be told about guidelines.
      iv. Decision about contact information was to put it in the end of Chair guidelines to tell the confronted party
      v. [16 members consent to guideline]
   b. Edits to “Guidelines on Recommending to Reporting to Institutions of Higher Learning”
      i. Discussed adding something about considering whether or not an incident would be reported at other schools to the guidelines. Added it to sections on academic and social violations.
      ii. [16 members consent to guideline]
      i. Discuss whether it should be the trial chair or not-involved co-chair to have the meeting with the confronted party.
      ii. Should there be more than one member of the exec board in the room so that they can consult each other?
      iii. Should it automatically be reported to current jury?
      iv. Entered a discussion of when two violations are relevant. It’s a subjective decision currently, which is currently made by only one person. Should there be more people involved?
      v. Should the decision be left up to the confronted party?
      vi. Longer discussion about whether it should always be reported or never be reported
      vii. Suggestion that the librarian has the one-on-one conversation and then the exec board discuss it - then suggestion that chair should not be involved
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1. some concern that people not in the meeting would be making the decision

viii. Suggestion that the librarian will be involved, and if the librarian and the confronted party disagree, it is brought to the non-involved members of exec board

ix. [16 members consent to the spirit of the change in viii]

4. Elections
   a. Briefly discussed timeline for elections. Elections for new Honor Council co-secs will be run over break.
   b. Rep elections will be run the week we get back

5. Picture of Council

6. End with moment of silence
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