Members Present: Michelle Parris'16 (co-chair), Chris Hadad'17 (co-chair), Kyle Albagli'16 (co-secretary), Erin Lipman’17 (co-secretary), Olivia Rauss‘15, Brianna Lowey‘15, Melissa Lee-Litowitz’15, Irene Evans’16, Dela Scharff’16, James Faville’17, Madison Arnold-Scerbo’18, Sophie McGlynn’18, Alex Bitterman’18, Brian Guggenheimer’16 (Librarian)

Members Absent: Sarah Brody ’15, Santiago Laverde’18

Guests: Angelique Spencer ’17, Sherilyn Galvez’16

A. Public Portion
   1. [Moment of Silence]
   2. TIDE presentation]
      i. Presentations by Angelique Spencer ‘17 and Sherilyn Galvez ‘16 from TIDE on current issues of diversity at Haverford
      ii. Discussions of what types of honor council procedures can function to resolve issues of diversity, as well as their underutilization (Joint panel, mediation, etc.). Honor council has a lot of flexibility in fitting procedures to issue. Member suggested that mediation makes sense in situations of microaggressions. Some council members emphasize that council should be able to be a good resource for these types of situations Sherilyn comments that she feels that connection between council and students of color could be stronger.
      iii. James mentions he knows of people who had terrible Customs experiences due to microaggressions and other race-related issues
      iv. Angelique brings up that confrontation over individual issues doesn't have broader effect on community. If community is aware of these confrontations, they can have more of an effect on making change.
      v. Chris talks about facilitated confrontations resolution to be brought up at plenary
      vi. Council members assert that issues effecting the whole community ideally should not be resolved between individuals. Olivia also mentions that that isn’t often people’s concern in the moment, we need to destigmatize trials as a resource. Individuals are used to feeling like they need to deal with these things on their own.
      vii. Maybe Honor Council can try to separate themselves from the trial process, so that people can bring issues to us that may not necessarily result in a trial
      viii. Brian brings up that social cases brought to council aren’t required to go to trial,
      ix. Angelique mentions that something like public portion could make council more accessible, or office hours, but understand that people don’t always come
      x. During retreat, Ben suggested that Council members attend more events, so that people know who we are and so we become a more visible presence in the community
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xi. Irene suggests that changes in how council is introduced in customs (as something to be avoided) could increase its perception as a resource

xii. Erin mentions diversity committee for this semester; says they would love to try to work with TIDE

3. Committee expectations
   i. members will receive emails from exec board liaisons this week about general committee structure

4. Plenary resolutions (a bunch)
   i. Just because these are council resolutions, does not mean that you have to support them, but you should be able to talk about them
   ii. Facilitated confrontation: formally informing community of commitment to provide education, and to offer the possibility of Council members acting as facilitators for confrontations. Dela asks whether the idea of abstracts being released will dissuade people from mediations.
   iii. Transparency/Interpretation
   iv. Bryn Mawr/Pre-Trial/Librarian Appointment

5. [Moment of Silence]