# Default Report

**Honor Code Ratification, Spring 2019**

February 25th 2019, 5:37 pm MST

**Q1 - Do you ratify the Honor Code?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std Deviation</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Do you ratify the Honor Code? - Selected Choice</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Code and I vote for its ratification for the following reason(s):</td>
<td>85.59%</td>
<td>861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Code and I vote for its ratification, but I have the following objection(s):</td>
<td>10.34%</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Code, and I do not vote for its ratification for the following reason(s):</td>
<td>4.08%</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Code and I vote for its ratification for the following reason(s):

- I believe in the honor code as it stands.
- The Honor Code is not perfect, but I think it creates a community very unlike most other colleges.
- we accomplished a lot last spring, but we need time to reflect on the recent changes before making new ones
- It’s fine as is.
- I think the Honor Code, for all it’s flaws, ultimately is something that I’m proud of and I think really sets a good precedence on this campus. We can't expect it to solve all of the issues with the culture on campus, but it's a really good first step towards tackling issues we care about, and I feel like it's a really important cornerstone for this community. Just because it isn't a perfect document doesn't mean it shouldn't be ratified; the beauty is we have the opportunity to change and refine it to further fit what we want in the future.
- I agree
- I think it is the best we can currently do and that we will better with it than without it
- I like the amendments
- The Honor Code is the backbone of Haverford and we are a successful school because of if
- Yes
- It expresses values of Haverford well.

It seems to be working

We need some moral code in place to continue Haverford’s goal

No objections

LOVE IT!

Boy were you at special plenary I’m not doing that shit again

I am satisfied with how the Honoc Code reflects the values of Haverford and can only hope that students use the document as guiding principles in their lives. While there are many shortcomings of the document or Haverford, I believe it is the people not the Code itself that create the campus culture that we want.

All of the material is valuable and needed.

It is a living document that we should always work to improve.

I vote for it

I think the Code is important to keep as a baseline

We did a lot of work on it last year and I think we need to give it time to see if it works. Also I realy dont want to go to splenary again.
I believe the honor code is a good thing for the college and I am in agreement with the majority of statements made in the honor code

Yes because it’s good

It alines with my values.

The Honor Code, especially after the changes made last year, is working well for me and I see no reason to deny its ratification once again.

It mostly works

Yes; The Honor Code is an integral part of Haverford and makes us a better school.

it's chill

its essentiality to the culture at Haverford College

I believe that the honor code remains a core feature of Haverfords community, although it is also flawed and our community should deeply consider how to make the code better in the future.

Although it is becoming abundantly clear that the honor code is not enough, I still think it is a positive and important part of this community.

I think that the Code makes Haverford a better place, and while it is imperfect, I don't think it should be blamed for all of the problems with Haverford

I believe it does more good than harm

I like it!

Yes

Yes

I believe in the Honor Code and what it stands for; I think the student body will work to uphold it.

I believe that the honor code has created the Haverford culture that I've enjoyed over the past 4 years. Without it, I think that my experience would have been much worse, and I don't want that to happen to others in the future. Thus, I am voting for ratification.

I already purchased my flight.

Because it encourages collaboration, open conversation, and care.

It’s good

I support the honor code because it enriches the community of Haverford.

It is vital to Haverford's identity.

I like it

The changes in social code, and their incorporation in the academic code

The Code should be ratified.

Yes

I think it works

It does a good job helping us achieve the community we are looking for
I like the way it works

I think it is great.

I am proud to belong to a community that cares this much about trust, concern, and respect

I agree with and affirm the code's protection of students with marginalized identities.

I think the Honor Code is crucial to the school in whatever form it exists in, and I have no objections to it

looks good!

The code isn't perfect, but I believe that it's necessary to ratify it to give our community something to refer to as we work towards bettering ourselves.

I approve all the resolutions

I believe in the student body of Haverford, and I still feel good about the changes made at last year's special plenary. Injustices do still happen on this campus, and the openness I feel we need to have to fix those injustices is present in the honor code

I believe it would be counterproductive to reject the code so shortly after such substantial changes as were made to it last year.

it's fine

I believe that the Honor Code is an integral part of the Haverford community and Haverford is not the college it was meant to be without it.

I would like to have the honor code

I support the ideals of the Code and think it is mostly executed well.

The code may be imperfect, but it is better to have it than not to

I believe it in and think that it does good things for our school

Yes because I agree with what it says and I think our actions, not just words, will help improve the school’s atmosphere.

While the code has its faults and it’s implementation is not perfect, the code is an integral part of Haverford’s culture

I think ultimately, despite any flaws, it embodies the general message/values that we want to get across. How we enact it or let it play out into our lives is up to the community as a whole, not more wordsmithing.

There are no serious problems on this campus that are due to the honor code

It’s good as it’s gonna get rn

I like the honor code and I don’t want another special plenary

I do

There's nothing wrong with it

To reach quorum.

I think it fully encompasses Haverford’s needs and wants as a diverse and inclusive student body

The Honor Code serves as the documentation of the trust among students, faculty, and administration. I appreciate the honor code for the freedom it allows me in the classroom and the general atmosphere of mutual respect on campus. I fully acknowledge that the honor code does not benefit everyone equally and should absolutely be improved upon, but I cannot justify not ratifying it when it does a lot for Haverford.
I don't want to go to special plenary because the honor code is necessary to haverford continuing to remain the institution it is today

yes

I think the Honor Code is central to Haverford’s values and inspires students to not only strive to be the best versions of themselves while also encouraging them to go beyond their comfort zone and question what is means to be at Haverford.

I do not think that the Code is perfect, and there is certainly room for improvement, but I think that its benefits far outweigh its drawbacks.

To maintain a sense of community on campus.

While the Honor Code is not perfect, it is important to guaranteeing certain protections and accessibilities to people.

I feel we are currently in a good state with the code and no additional changes need to be made

It is an important, although not all-important, aspect of the school. It can also still be improved in coming years, as it should be.

I believe that it is an integral part of the community and has not faltered in its ability to create an open, honest, and safe space

I’m proud of the changes we made at special plenary

It helps the school run better.

I think it’s important that it exists and even though there may be problems, we can work to solve those problems through more productive means with an existing code

I believe in it and that it helps organize us as a community.

I think the community is better with it than without. But not everyone is happy with it and I’m sure changes need to be made.

It’s what makes Haverford Haverford

It is a core and unique part of Haverford which makes us who we are. It has great resolutions too.

I have thoroughly enjoyed my time at Haverford this far, and I believe the current honor code does a thorough job in respecting diversity and academic integrity.

I think this version of the honor code accurately reflects the concerns of many student on campus and leaves open the methods by which to address the concerns of the rest.

I think it contributes to a uniquely trusting environment which I would like to uphold

It is an important part of the school

The Honor Code, since its updates last year, seems to have improved the campus climate.

It's important

Although the last few plenaries have been...interesting, I think a number of changes have been made which have improved the Code and kept it up to speed. I'm satisfied with how it's worked for me and those I know thus far, so am voting to ratify it.

I believe that the Honor Code makes this school better. It is not perfect, and I hear the problems that people have with it. But I believe that the fact that we have values written down is important, and in spaces like Plenary we actively work as a community to make it better.
I think it’s a critical part of the Haverford community

Because I like the code

I believe the honor code in it’s current form is successful in fostering an environment of mutual trust and respect.

Step towards good directions

To maintain the current culture of trust, concern, and respect while recognizing the active, ongoing nature of living in such a community

I believe that although it is not a perfect document, in its current state it does more good than harm

I believe the code does well in expressing and promoting the values of the Haverford community.

I believe the honor code allows for conversation and space which is very needed at Haverford. I also think the Honor Code provides a framework for students to begin to understand the values Haverford stands for.

Honor code is the basis of Haverford

It works

It inspires people to be decent human beings.

I vote for its ratification because it creates and facilitates the opportunity to hold ourselves accountable and to continually reevaluate the utility and effectiveness of the Code.

I believe the Honor code positively contributes to my experience at Haverford.

I believe that, while an imperfect system with imperfect authors cannot perfectly govern this campus, its presence is far more valuable than its absence.

The Honor Code is the foundation of this college and the conventions that give us the student life we enjoy. Many Haverfordians blame the code for problems they would experience, likely in a worse form, at almost any other college, but I recognize those aren’t the result of the honor code.

It takes steps in the right direction.

Despite it’s flaws, I think it’s worth keeping and while I do hope it will be improved I think the community is better for having at least something

Appreciated how to code worked in a trial I was on last semester.

I ratify the Honor Code as a participant of Plenary

it keeps our school’s values in check

It’s good for the school

The Honor Code, while not perfect, still does what it is supposed to do. I see no benefit at this point in holding another Special Plenary, and I especially do not see any point in getting rid of it.

It’s good for us.

x

No special plenary this year

We need it.

Yes
It's good

I have heard the student body and I stand by the decisions we came to during Plenary.

I believe that even with all its flaws it provides a foundation of trust our campus can work off of.

The honor code improves academic and social life at Haverford. While the Honor Code itself cannot change many things, I believe the document represent an ideal students should aim to achieve.

I support the honor code as-is.

Yes

I vote for its ratification because it is the core of our school and contains values that we all need to strive towards maintaining in order to foster a more equal and accessible community of learning.

I think that it can always be better, but that it is an important part of Haverford and that we would not be better off without it.

I agree with it

I think it’s an integral part of the community.

The Code is a positive influence on this campus.

Hope this isn't closed already. Is write a longer answer otherwise

it’s good

I like it

we’re trying real hard

It should pass

I like it

I think the Code is in a good place right now

I know the code is not perfect but have no coherent changes to pose at this time

It is decent and is a good goal to aim for.

might as well

After the work done to improve the honor code last year, I believe we should take more time to observe the outcomes of these changes.

The Honor Code provides a moral backbone to this campus

It's a very good code!

I believe the Code serves the student body well the way it currently stands

I believe in the code

It helps support the schools core values trust concern and respect

Ratify

Because I support the Honor Code. I think it is one of the best parts of Haverford and without it, this place would not be the same.
gotta support the people that make the honor code & plenary work!

I agree with the ratification

I feel it exemplifies Haverford and why we go here

Yes, I believe the honor code will help us ensure that we are following our values of trust, honor and respect.

I acknowledge that the code is imperfect but I think it’s important to maintain as a baseline for community engagement in order to improve.

I commend that Honor Code for its principles of trust and concern for community members. I truly feel honored to have a code that trusts 18-22 year olds in the way that it does. Further, I come from a homogenous, non inclusive community and the way in which the Code promotes inclusivity is amazing.

It is an important part of the Haverford community

The Honor Code is not the source of our problems, nor is it an absolute solvent. We as a community need to enact it, hold it up, and be true to the values found in the Honor Code in both letter and spirit. It is not perfect, but it is good. It does, however, require us to be good in turn.

its good

It appeals to the values of the college and the students, as defines life on campus.

The code makes our community better

It helps guide the trust and equal respect that makes Haverford what it is.

I believe it fosters a friendly community that wants to discuss the relationships between its members.

YES

The honor code is Haverford. They are inseparable.

I agree with it

I am satisfied with most of the language of the code as it is now, and hope that ratifying it will leave more space for us to improve beyond the code and actually act on the values it claims we have.

I wish for it to pass

It is a good statement of ideals. Yes, we need to do more, but as Lourdes and Daisy pointed out, our dissatisfaction is not about the code but about our disappointment with the world in general. Let’s change the world, let’s change Haverford, and let’s use this awesome new code as the amazing tool and resource it can be for us.

I agree that our problems do not have to do with the way the code is structured, but more with failure to uphold the code

Because we need one I’m my opinion and it is successful in what we need it to do

honor code gud

I think that the additions to the Honor Code from last year are pretty good and that problems with the social atmosphere would not be significantly changed by new changes to the Honor Code.

I believe it will further the community at Haverford, and allow for important discussions to happen on campus.

The code may be imperfect, but it holds Haverford to a standard that makes students think more critically about the impact they have on campus and is a positive influence overall.
I believe that it makes sense to ratify the Honor Code despite acknowledging its continuing flaws and inherent limitations. Even though the Code is far from perfect, it is important to maintain it as a work in progress for our community and continue active discussion about its successes and failures.

Yes

D

n/a

I support the ethos of the honor code.

Honor Code good

I believe that it is a positive force at Haverford

Yes

It's very important

While not perfect, it’s important to life on campus.

I have appreciated the Code throughout my time at Haverford and think it is beneficial to the school and the student body.

It is in a good place

I think they benefit the college

Yes

I like the way the school is

I have read it and think that it is a good attempt at ensuring the behaviors and attitudes i want cultivated at haverford

Its great!

I believe the honor code is not perfect but is in general very good.

I support the honor code and all that it stands for here and in life.

While acknowledging the limitations of the code, I think it should continue to exist and hope the student body can continue to see the progression of the code to best represent the will of the students

I do think the honor code is a good way of outlining community values, flawed as it is

I vote for the ratification of the honor code because I believe the Code has a lot of room for improvement and we should ratify it in order to continue engaging with the code and improving it.

It addresses the issues of marginalized community better.

Yes

I'm not convinced the honor code is working particularly well, but I'm sufficiently convinced this year that at least people are a bit more engaged again. I'm disheartened by the rhetoric on all sides. There is very little discussion or explanation and a lot of talking past each other. Sure, comments like the "ford form manifesto" are frustrating and demonstrate ignorance, by claiming that identity and privilege shouldn't matter anymore. But in responding so angrily and dismissively, we validate the criticism that groupthink is problematic at Haverford. When specific terms like "safe space" are critiqued, why do we laugh at it and dismiss it rather than define and defend it? Surely
we have good answers defending things that matter to us. And I get it, it's tiring to repeatedly defend our values against the same frustrating criticisms. But that is the only way to make progress, to maybe get more people to listen. Anything less just causes anyone who doesn't believe the same thing to want to turn away and never consider the other perspective.

Yes

I appreciate the honor code and everything it has done for me during my time here.

It is good!

Its great

It helps our community

Another special plenary would not balm the issues our campus faces.

I like it

It can support the student body

The Code isn't to blame for our campus's problems, the community members who don't respect it are

I think it is really important to Haverford's community and although it isn't perfect, I saw alot of good student engagement at this plenary.

xx

I think the honor code is not perfect but I think it's valuable to have our ideals written down in a concrete way, which is why I want to ratify it

The honor code is good for the school

It makes Haverford safer, and is overall better than not

I believe that while imperfect, the code supports the Haverford Community, and is an important part of our lives

The Honor Code is a living, growing document. The changes we made last spring are a step in the right direction, as was shown by the utilization by students of the new positions aimed at helping under-represented or minority students on campus confront larger groups. The heads of honor council said that because there were still these breaks in the honor code, the changes had failed; however, culture can take time to change. Just because people continue to break the code does not mean the code is wrong. Even if we had a perfect code, which is still a long way off, there would be people who would break it. That does not mean it should not exist, but rather, that we should attempt to build a community that is able to live up to its ideals, and use it as a way to make that community a reality.

Yes

Because it is important to the community

Because it is better than not having one.

Even though the honor code has some issues, it is better to have it than not and if we don't have it, we can't work to make it better.

I like it.

It works

Yes
I think we made some great changes during last spring’s Special Plenary and am eager to see how they play out and if there is a difference in how people interact in their daily lives.

I think it successfully addresses the needs of the students!

The honor code is not perfect, but I think having a set of agreed guidelines in place are important. Hopefully in the future we can change what needs to be changed, and we can all be more dedicated to actually upholding these morals.

I agree with its contents although it needs adjustment

The honor code is one of the core frames of our community

its a stable of the haverford community.

because I agree with what is written in it and the changes that have been made in plenary this past Sunday.

I have no objections

I think the honor code as of now does a good job.

Yes

I think the Code is a productive and thoughtful document.

Yes

I think it is doing it’s job.

I want it, I got it

I believe that at this point this is the best we are going to get, and I feel confident that the current code will be effective for the most part.

It is a staple of the haverford community and it is important to create a space of trust, concern, and respect

It’s a vital part of the College’s identity and why so many of us decide to go here in the first place.

The Code has worked personally for me in creating a friendly and fostering environment.

I would like to vote for the Code’s ratification because I feel that it has worked very well for me and I am committed to continually keeping myself and my community members accountable for upholding its values.

I am reasonably confident that the current Honor Code will work for our community.

I believe in student self-government, and the Honor Code provides a small measure of that.

I enjoy the respectful community it creates

I believe it is good

Yes

I approve :-) 

It’s the soul of who we are. It’s our highest aspiration. While we don’t always live up to it, carrying it in our hearts makes us better. Conscientiousness is the greatest quality of Haverford students and this is the reason, we carry the Code with us. It needs further reform, though it isn’t always the best way to affect change, but that reform should come thoughtfully as reaffirmation and reinvestment rather than boycott or rejection.

The Honor is a mere document if not embodied. It has everything it needs to have. Changing it isn’t the solution and changing ourselves to follow it is
i like the honor code the way it is, i ratify it

Yes

I think it performs is function well.

It's a great code!

I like how my teachers trust me and I can trust them to have my best interests in mind. I also like how my school community is supportive academically and socially with the Honor Code.

Self governance is very important

I feel as though the existing honor code is as good as it can get for the time being.

The honor code is a central part of the Haverford experience that provides a foundation for the ideals that bring our community together.

It's good for us

I believe it's pretty good, all things considered

There's nothing wrong with it

The honor code is what helps to make Haverford what it is.

It creates a better community

We don't have a perfect campus but it won't be solved through the Honor Code. Most possible improvements were made in Special Plenary last year.

n/a

The Code doesn't work. But like Daisy and Lourdes said, it never was supposed to work. We need to work. And I don't think changing the code is gonna change anything about this community...so might as well ratify and focus our efforts on fixing ourselves instead of another speeny pleeny.

It's allows us students to feel trusted by the administrations and all other students. It allows us to show the integrity we all have.

Yes

The Honor Code is a major reason why I chose to attend Haverford and I feel that it really does have a profound effect on fostering a sense of close community

I think that the Honor Code makes our community better, despite some shortcomings that we must all collectively work to overcome. I am happy with the resolutions passed, too.

the honor code facilitates a healthy social and academic environment

Sure

Honor code is gud

Although the code is imperfect in many ways, we need to keep the code around to continue changing it and improving it. Without the code, I think there would be a very different atmosphere on campus and in classes.

It is necessary for our community.

It’s better than having no honor code at all

It’s a staple of the Haverford community
I believe that the updated honor code does a good job of representing the values of the community.

The code is something I believe in and wish to follow.

I like it a lot.

I think it is important that we have community standards that encourage us to treat each other respectfully in order to build a safe and productive community. Although imperfect, I think that the honor code does a good job in doing this.

I agree with everything the honor code stands for.

I support the ratification.

I support the code in its update form and furthermore its existence and continuation more generally.

It works.

Yes, I am happy with the code in its current state and with the outcome of plenary.

I believe that the honor code is a good framework to help students support themselves and each other.

It is the one thing that unites all Haverford students. Haverford isn’t Haverford without the Honor Code.

It’s an established part of our society that I think is helpful for our structure.

The honor code is integral to our institution.
Because I believe that the code serves an important function in keeping our community strong, it’s vital to our institution.

It's good.

makes good people

I think the Honor Code is integral to this school, and I feel that it is currently in a good enough shape to be ratified. I'm also too fragile for another special plenary.

I love honor code!

The Code is central to student body life and Haverford’s identity as an institution. It is something I agree with and believe in.

The honor code Serves it’s purpose well.

Great for community

The Code is in a good place.

I don't think the Honor Code as a document will ever be able to fully serve the community's needs, despite the hard work people did last spring. I think what is important right now is to actually make sure the words on paper are reflected in reality and in our interactions with each other.

Continued striving and cooperation

This code is essential to the school and we must do better to live up to what it stands for.

We worked so fucking hard last year. It's not perfect, but its a hell of a lot better.

Christian Yun

because our school is based off of trust

I approve

I think that the code is an important aspect of the Haverford community and helps to foster the environment of trust, concern, and respect that we aspire to have. While the code is a constant work in progress I think that ratification is an essential part of the process.

I think the Honor Code is an important part of this community and I think it should be ratified.

We need to work on how we engage with the Code, but I feel the Code itself is at a good spot.

Gives the campus a unique identity.

I like the honor code as is

I think it creates a really positive culture for the school around academics and I think it allows us to keep having discussions and bringing up social issues.

Yes

I think we did really good work at Special Plenary, and I want to see that work continue to move forward.

I believe in the code.

The code works for me and I don't want another special plenary.
At the end of the day, Haverford is better with it than without it

I think it’s good

I believe the Honor Code upholds the values that I expect and want at Haverford.

I have no objections.

The Honor Code is not perfect. It never will be perfect. It is, however, an integral part of our community and without it, we’d be lost. I vote for its ratification because of all of the good it does in this community, recognizing that there are still flaws with the code.

The code is an imperfect document, with the social code's language, in particular, often being extremely broad and subject to the interpretation of particular honour Council jurors. However, the values of trust concern and respect enunciated in the code are important ones, and embody what much of the student body desires from this community. I believe the codification of these values (and norms that flow from them) does, to some extent, help hold ourselves accountable to said values. Additionally, the problem of student apathy endemic on campus isn't one that can be solved by just tweaking the code. The solutions to that problem must take place via an organic change in campus culture, not by wishing. Edits to the language of the code improves the situation.

The honor code represents what we strive for as a community. I think these aspirations are good, and I think we should give ourselves more of a chance to live up to them. Our community’s problems are not rooted in the text of the honor code, but in the internalized, everyday standards we hold ourselves to in social situations.

I believe it works

I believe it is currently ready for the student body

It’s essential to Haverford

Because I agree with it.

It works.

Because I agree with what it stands for and represent

honor code= good

While it still needs work, the honor code provides a base for us to go off of as a community.

Yes

The code is the cornerstone of our academic and social community, and, while not perfect, allows students to take responsibility for their actions in a way that is extremely valuable.

"Turn Up. This guys crazy as fuck."-Juicy J

Yes

It’s still beneficial to our community.

I think the code is important to Haverfords community and identity

It is satisfactory

It is necessary to the daily life at Haverford.

I believe that the Honor Code is structured in a way which will provide a safe and healthy academic and social environment for students on campus.

I believe in student government and the plasticity of the code
It makes haverford haverford

Independence and trust

I am happy with it.

I think it promotes an honest environment on campus.

Although it needs work, that work can still happen if the Code is ratified. Many things wrong with it are also caused by structural issues (racism, classism, and many other -isms) that may need to be addressed outside of the Code.

Yes

Yes, I vote for the ratification of the honor code. I think the code in present is an integral part of our community and ensures all students are seen equally.

The system works well enough

The Honor Code makes Haverford a better, safer place and it is a community I am proud to be apart of.

I think this makes Haverford a better place

It is a huge part of what makes Haverford so great.

Academic freedom with social responsibilities

The honor code is an important part of Haverford’s community and we need to continue working on it.

I believe in it

Although the honor code does not work perfectly, it helps create a rather safe and supportive community. I think that without the code, many more people with marginalized identities will be further marginalized.

I beleibe the honor code works.

I strongly agree with the honor code and think it is an integral part of the school.

While there will always be debate as to certain facets of the code, the codes acceptance is integral to Haverford and the continuation of healthy debate over issues to be debated.

I believe in it

To move the student body towards a sustainable future

The code makes haverford a better place

I think it's good

The honor code creates an environment of trust, concern, and respect. Although imperfect, the honor code creates a positive environment of learning and non-competitiveness.

I think it’s a good structure for our community to be based on

Though it’s not perfect, I think the things the honor code stand for are extremely important and can be one tool to help the student body have trust, concern, and respect.

Think it strengthens the trust concern and respect among the student body

While it may not be perfect, from what I can tell the Honor Code has worked well for me and my peers. It remains a crucial enshrinement of this community's values.

I think the Honor Code is a defining part of being a Haverford student and helps to create the environment we live in.
i want it

I believe in the honor code as the foundation for life at Haverford.

Because it fosters the environment of understanding and acceptance on this campus

I support the new code!

I think this is better than the previous Honor Code.

Yes

It is has not negatively affected me or anyone in my immediate circle

This is a better Honor Code than the last one

Yes

The honor code is integral to Haverford's community. It helps maintain the unique community that exists at Haverford. I am able to trust students to do the right thing in academic and social settings due to the honor code.

It's the backbone of our college community.

I believe that the Honor Code is the best mechanism that our college has to promote its values of Trust, Concern, and Respect.

I have faith that in my peers who work so hard to make the Honor Code work for everybody.

I agree with everything in the Honor Code

For me, the question is not whether the Code is good or bad, but whether it is better or worse than not having it. Research shows that simply being reminded of ethics encourages people to act more ethically, so I think the Code is worth ratifying.

Live by the code, die by the code

It is an important first step towards building an inclusive and trusting community

I have no issues with the honor code.

I support the honor code.

Yes it is the cornerstone of Haverford College and life on campus.

I like it, and it creates a good campus community

While I think the code is flawed, I think the community is better with the code than without it.

Yes because it is a staple of the haverford community

The honor code is worth it! Not perfect, but we still need it.

i agree with what was proposed

I support this version of the honor code.

It is good

I think the code has tried its hardest and doesn't require change within my time at Haverford, considering Special Plenary last year. What's needed is change within the community, which doesn't happen just because of the Honor Code.
I believe it's a big part of our community's collective identity and establishes an ideal that we strive for. It is essential to the Haverford community and our values of trust, concern, and respect.

Although I believe that the Honor Code is not perfect, I think that we should strive for the values it establishes for our community. After studying abroad, I gained a new appreciation for the Honor Code, and for the standards we set for ourselves as a community. I think that we still need to continue improving the Honor Code, but I eagerly vote for its ratification.

I think that even though the honor code might not be perfect it makes the college a better place.

I am in accordance with the information in it. I believe that, for all of its flaws, the honor code does a lot of good for Haverford.

I believe we need to ratify in order to restore faith in the process.

Provides important guidance for campus values

While the honor code is imperfect, I don't believe that getting rid of it would prompt any change that is better than what we can do with the code. Additionally, while the code is an important document to have as a guide, the majority of work that needs to be done cannot be done simply by updating the code. Rather, the work has to come from the student body and our active participation and work towards making Haverford a better place.

It benefits our community.

It is part of what makes Haverford Haverford.

I feel the Honor Code still accurately represents the ideals of the student body and the College as a whole.

Yes.

The Code is an important document for Haverford as a community and an academic institution. It's not perfect but it's the best we can do within the constraints put upon us.

We have changed the code into a more inclusive code last year and we need to put that into action.

I think it's fine.

It works well for what we need.

I support it.

I love the honor code and I'm really happy that I came to Haverford.

I think it is good :)

--

The honor code is sufficient.

It is an essential part of our school.

N/A.

I think the honor code is extremely beneficial to the community, and is the backbone of Haverford.

The honor code doesn't do everything, but it does something. It's a good starting point that can guide our actions in real life.

I believe that it works and accurately represents my views and expectations as a student.
I vote to ratify Because it’s a huge part of our community and campus life

It needs more time from special plenary

Because I agree with the code.

I vote for the code because it creates space for us to hold ourselves accountable for our actions

The code is more valuable, despite its flaws, than a void

I think in general it works

I still worry about hostility from admin, especially towards marginalized students

yes

trust, concern, respect

I believe the Honor Code exemplifies what it means to be a Haverford College Student

I like that we have the code, and I am proud of the work that we did last year as a community to improve it. I hope that each of us can find ways to thoughtfully examine our own actions within this community to ensure that the ideals spelled out in this text are being worked toward.

I think it does far more good than harm.

It reflects where we are as a student body. It may be imperfect, but the areas for further debate are clear and future generations of Haverfordians will be able to wrangle with it.

I think that the changes will make a considerable impact and that it is very beneficial for the school.

Yes because I feel it is integral to the haverford ethos

Because i am a student and i agree with it

I think it is an important part of our community although it isn’t perfect

Yes, it makes me feel safe.

Yes

It is beneficial to the community

Because I don’t want special plenary

To keep the Honor Code around, to try to make a non-competitive space, and to try to be the kindest people we can be.

I agree with the Code's codification of our community standards of treating one another with trust, concern, and respect.

I think the honor code fosters a caring and trustworthy community at our school and I would like that to persist.

Yes

The honor code is important to Haverford as an institution

I do not have any specific objections to the code in its current form
It’s not perfect by any means. With future plenary resolutions we can keep getting better.

That’s why I came here

The honor code is a best approximation of the community standards we should have

It good

The code embodies the values of Haverford in all the ways i would expect

I believe it shapes our school in a productive way.

I love the code

I think the code is important to the functioning of the college and lays out a good guideline for people to follow.

I do vote for ratification of the code. I think it operates well in our community because of the sense of student agency and involvement. More than ever I think retaining the code and all it invokes fosters a sense of responsibility over our community that we have and need.

I am under no obligation to share

I agree with the current Honor Code.

We need the Honor Code.

better than nothing

The Honor Code represents the trust and community values treasured at Haverford, and it is an essential living document to the institution and to the community.

It’s a good code

While it may not be perfect, I believe that it upholds our key values and is an essential part of the Haverford community.

It has provided me with everything I need to achieve success at this institution.

I think the changes from last year continue to work

the honor code is an integral part of the Haverford community

I don’t have any comments

On the whole, I believe the Honor Code is not wrong. Some may say it does not go far enough, but that is a very different thing from being entirely wrong. I wholeheartedly affirm the ideals of Trust, Concern, and Respect within it, and so vote for its ratification.

I think the Honor Code is better than the alternatives (no Honor Code, Special Plenary, total anarchy)

I vote yes. It fits my view of what the Honor Code should do to a reasonable degree — not perfectly, but to a degree that I’m comfortable supporting it.

Because it is essential to the school.

Even though the Honor Code may not be perfect, being abroad this semester has reinforced for me how valuable it is to have both an academic and social honor code. Ratifying the Honor Code reaffirms our community’s commitment to treat others with trust, concern, and respect.

I support the honor code.
It's a big part of why I came here.

Yes

Dank

I think it's fine and I don't want spring 2018 to repeat itself

:)  

The honor code is important to life at Haverford

I do ratify this code because while it may not be perfect, it is necessary and we are better for it

It is essential to the community

I vote to ratify because the Code can never be perfect but it is still valuable in governing academically and socially. It's a part of our community worth keeping around, pondering, and continuing to change when we can come up with things to try to improve it.

I support the Code because I feel that it represents our community and the changes we made reflect our changing community.

The code makes haverford special.

I believe it effectively represents the perspectives on this campus, because it allows for inclusivity of all people and pushes the community to grow and change for the better.

The code is awesome

Yes

The honor code is what makes the unique community that is haverford. I love the freedoms it affords and the collaboration it produces.

The social and academic sections of the code are considerate of people and their academic needs as well as different ethnic backgrounds.

I support the honor code

I like it and I don’t like special plenary. The thing works m

Yes

Although it is not perfect, I think that it is the best we have come up with yet and still serves as a solid basis for confrontation and accountability.

dope

The honor code allows me to hold myself to a higher standard.

Fair

I think there’s not many reasons not to, plus I really support the sustainability resolution that just passed. Also I know that the honor code is important to many people I trust and respect so I’m studying more for them.

It makes sense to me

I vote with its ratification because I think the Code is not the problem we are facing in this community, but how people take the value of the Code and the Code itself into their considerations and actions.

I have no objections and stands for what it says.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I think the honor code is important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can see the improvement since Special Plenary. And I believe the Code has been the core of our everyday life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’m drunk and it is good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I will always vote for ratification the Honor Code because we need an environment of self-reliant and responsible academic accountability to continue to hold together Haverford’s community. It is my sincerest hope that every individual, who reads and signs the Honor Code before attending Haverford, will live by the words we agree upon as a community and will approach daily life and academics with respect and the utmost care for their fellow students and the community we create. I believe community and care go even beyond the words of the Code and require us to embrace a universal understanding of trust, concern, and respect. I vote ratify the Honor Code because it is a guide. To ensure that manifests and perfects itself is our responsibility. It’s my hope that anyone who objects or has objected to the inclusion of all members of our community, and here I have in mind an certain anonymous letter penned and read at the end of Plenary, takes the time to listen, respond with care, and learn how to help foster the environment the Code imagines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It’s well thought out and works well within the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Code is not perfect, but it is a baseline from which we can improve. We took big steps last year and I think that the student body is more aware of the ways we have still to go. For that reason, I am confident that we can continue to make progress even with an approved code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honor Code is important in order to keep order.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think that the code as written is a good framework for navigating social and academic issues, and I haven't observed any instances where some specific failing of the code causes some sort of problem or fails to resolve a problem it should be able to. As such, while I always assume that the code could be better, I don't have any specific objections to note and I think that Haverford is a better place for having the honor code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The current Honor Code works fine right now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I approve of the honor coder and its mission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The honor code makes the school better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think the execution of the code rather than the code itself is the issue. The code is alright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I believe the Code makes the college a better place, socially and academically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is integral to the ideals we live by at Haverford College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The honor code is good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>living document. ups and downs we are better with than without!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I agree with it generally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Although we don’t always abide by it, the Honor Code does delineate conduct that is ideal and conducive to sound academic and social community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I believe it is good for the times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I like the atmosphere that the honor code creates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>because not having one would suck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I like the honor code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I agree with Daisy and Lourdes that the Code alone cannot address all the issues on this campus. I’m choosing to ratify the code because I believe that it would hopefully make us focus our energies on taking care of each other in ways that aren’t purely focused on the Code. Also, I know Special Plenary last year was very traumatic for a lot of students (particularly trans and nonbinary students), so I frankly don’t think we have enough energy to do that again as a campus.

Haverford needs the Honor code in order to be as trusting as a community as it is.

I have had pleasant experience with the code for the past 2 and a half years.

I think we are actively working to better the Code.

The Honor Code is essential to the ideology behind Haverford as a community.

The Honor Code may not be perfect but it is very important to maintaining trust, concern, and respect at our school.

I strongly believe that the Code, and the ability of students to modify it, is the best way to encourage a positive learning environment and maintain input in the governance of Haverford.

The chaos that would ensue if we didn’t have an Honor Code is enough to keep it going, I think. When I give tours and I talk about the Honor Code, sometimes I feel like I’m lying. But at the same time, I realize how special it is that we hold this document as our ideal, regardless of whether we fall short of it. That’s better than most places.

I like it

It does a fine job of outlining the values here. I agree with the viewpoint that change will not come through the code but from individual reflection and consideration.

It’s necessary at Haverford!

I don’t think there’s any way to make it better currently.

The revisions made last year make me feel comfortable with the direction the code is being taken even if it is imperfect in it’s current form.

I like what it stands for.

I love the code

The best option we have.

It provides and supports the key values that make Haverford an exceptional institution, a safe, trusting and respecting place.

The honor code is fundamental to the functioning of this campus. Although not perfect I believe it has many benefits.

It’s better than not having it

Thoughtful ratifications and amendments

I hate speeny pleeny

Yes

I want to ratify only the academic honor code.

It is a crucial part of Haverford community

The honor code is good and gives us freedom

It intentionally tries to create a supportive community and I am for all the clauses it has that tried to make its students feel safe, respected, and heard on campus.
I support everything it states.

It’s what the school needs

Yeah

I don't think it's possible for the honor code to solve all the problems that could exist on campus, but it does a great job at fostering a cooperative environment and a strong community.

Yes

Important for community. In order to strive to live by the values of trust, concern, and respect the code is a useful framework to have in place. Although it is not perfect I believe having an imperfect code that people care about is better than not having a guiding framework for our community. It has been worked on a lot in previous years and revised in an effort to improve it

I like the honor code

I believe that even though imperfect, we can continue working on this living document within the current framework. I also think that some issues on campus are not necessarily related to the code itself, but to how people sometimes don’t really live up to it even in its current form.

Holds the community together

I like the honor code very much

I think the Code is an integral part of what makes Haverford such a unique and united community. We hold each other to a higher standard than a lot of our peers do at other colleges given the nature of our social, academic, and personal expectations outlined in the Code. Even though individuals have a tendency to make mistakes, that doesn't mean that we should stop striving to keep building the Code and keep holding those others to higher expectations on a daily basis. We can always improve the Code while it's there for us to reform, but we might not always be able to bring it back if the Haverford community strays too far away from the everyday expectations of trust, concern, and respect that we demand from each other everyday.

I want the code.

I currently feel satisfied with the Honor Code and think that we are better off ratifying it.

Yes

I like it

I believe in the code, and think it is an integral part of the community.

Yuh

Addresses my concerns with regards to which groups the honor code attempts to empower on campus. I also feel like it functioned well for me this year and I wouldn't know what to change if the honor code were not ratified, thus I vote for its ratification.

It makes haverford, haverford.

While imperfect, I think that the Honor Code serves as a working document and ideal to strive for.

Tis a good thing.

I wish to reach quorum
I think it works well in the community as a backbone for social and academic integrity. Is it perfect? No! Does it work fully and comprehensively in every interaction on campus? No! Yet it still provides better normative conditions than the alternative. Because it has been an extremely positive part of my Haverford experience. I have really benefited from the level of trust between students and faculty.

Jacob Valero

I feel represented and safe under the policy of the Honor Code and feel that its ratification will benefit the entire population. Despite some potential flaws, the presence of the code at least provides a reason to talk about important subjects as a community. I think that it is working well and it is fine the way it is.

While the Honor Code isn't perfect, I think a lot of important changes were made last spring during Special Plenary. The resulting dialogue from Special Plenary needs to continue to shape the Honor Code to address the many issues that remain prevalent on Haverford's campus (many of which were expressed last weekend during Spring Plenary), but this Honor Code is a good place to start.

I like it

I believe it is an integral part of Haverford. Even though the honor code is imperfect, in its current state, I believe that it benefits the student body as a whole. Because I want to maintain a good community.

I think amending and ratifying the code is better than voting against it.

Right thing to do

I believe the Code represents how a community should be run. I believe that the honor code does a lot of good for this community overall. I have heard my peers and reflected on what they have to say and am strongly in favor of ratifying the honor code. I think that the honor code is so much of what gives Haverford its character and makes it such a special community, though of course it is not perfect.

Because I like it

It reflects the core values of the Haverford community and the deliberation process was fruitful.

I see the success of the honor code every day at Haverford.

We have debated and revised it as a student body and recognize its value in keeping many freedoms it enables us students to have.

I think it is necessary for the upholding of community values.

Although the code is not perfect, I believe that we as a community can strive to work on it, but in order to do that we must have an active honor code upon which to act.

On the whole, the honor code does more good than bad for our campus community. The Honor Code is one of the best parts of Haverford and I am very lucky to attend a school where we have it. This is not always perfect and there are definitely flaws, but I appreciate it.

Q1_2_TEXT - I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Code and I vote for its r...
I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Code and I vote for its ratification, but I have the following objection(s):

- Could always be better, but I want to continue working through mediums available, thank you.
- I think that the code does create a much better environment at the school, but more still needs to be done to protect marginalized groups and also it needs to stop being used as an excuse for bad behavior.
- I acknowledge that the Code has issues, but I don't feel that going to Special Plenary will bring productive solutions.
- The social honor code still doesn't protect marginalized people as well as it should but I guess it's ok for now.
- Ppl need to stop giving the code so much power. We are what makes Haverford a good community, not the code, and we have frankly been falling a little short of the code's expectations for a while.
- I believe it is the student body's obligation to ratify the honor code every year. However, I believe the code is flawed and we have a responsibility to work to improve it every semester.
- I believe in the code but believe we need to change as a community and grow as individual and to fully realize its ideals!
- I think the code still lacks in supporting marginalized identities, but there are ways that we can do more.
- I like it a lot but it could use some improvements you know. There needs to be way more mayonnaise.

Resolution 2: I do not think the amount of compost solely should be a measure of good environmental practice. I work in the dining center and can fully see how to double the amount of compost by wasting more raw food material (can be as simple as different ways of cutting a pepper).

- I believe that not including political protection in discourse is extremely worrying. This directly damages discourse on campus by forcing those in the minority to only feel safe talking to those who hold the same opinions.
- It is inherently flawed in that it doesn't penalize faculty members for not sharing the same values of trust, concern, and respect so integral to the Code, nor is it particularly effective in penalizing students for such things as microaggressions, full-sized aggressions, and so on.

The enforcement is problematic.

- Not thrilled about the social code's shortcomings, but the academic code and alc policy are integrals part of our campus culture.
- I believe that, although confrontation can be quite difficult, it would be best for the community to have the affronted party present at the offending party's confrontation. I also believe that political ideology should be better protected, and that the benefit gained by exchanging ideas with unpopular beliefs outweighs the costs of discomfort terms of what's best for the community as a whole.

The honor code should protect political ideology just as much as it did before last year. Also, people who bring someone to honor council should be required to have a personal confrontation.

- I will always have objections to the Code, but I am voting for its ratification because 1) it is a powerful tool for facilitating dialogue and 2) the trials of late, new positions like the COMLs, and the state of the Code speech all really spoke to ways folks are using the Code in a constructive way to resolve conflict. I think the biggest problem I am having right now with the Honor Code personally is that power dynamics are complicated, accountability is complicated, and conversations are complicated. It's no secret that violations of trust, concern, and respect happen all the time with varying levels of egregiousness. I feel like in some ways the Code is being used to make process and in some ways it is being used like throwing your shoulder into a fucking wall. It feels like part of the solution is time and percolation of the efforts being made right now. Part of it is definitely a missing confrontation or a missing dimension of introspection. And in other ways it feels like what we need is a fucking punitive arm of the social code... I don't know, that's as far as I've gotten with thinking right now. I don't know.

Yes - more protection for people against sexual assault and rape, and harsher consequences for those who violate others' boundaries.

- I don't like the phrasing in the article regarding English proficiency.
I remain unsure that the code works well.

The Honor Code provides a really important framework for our community, but at the same time, there is much work needed to be done both within and outside of the Code to make this community safer, more inclusive, and more welcoming to community members of all identities.

My main objections is the fact that, regardless of how “accepting” the code makes the community, I am still surrounded by homophobic students.

I think the code is not perfect, but it is a good foundation for us moving onwards.

The events of the past year have made me lose a lot of my faith in the code, and I really liked Lourdes and Daisy's speech about how it's only one aspect of the Haverford community. I think that kind of thing should be emphasized more.

It does not fully address the position of marginalized students at Haverford.

I had a really difficult time deciding between voting yes with objections and no. While I think the Honor Code is failing in a lot of ways, I am unsure about what voting no would do to fix that. The text of the Honor Code is fine and I'm not sure what could be changed about it to fix the problems at Haverford. I think it is really important to look past the Honor Code to make Haverford a better and more inclusive space. Even with this new Honor Code, that so many people put so much time and thought into, Haverford is still an extremely exclusive space. Great, we are all enraged that someone used the Ford Form to argue against safe spaces and microaggressions, but we are also so apathetic to so many other pretty horrible things that happen here that happen less publically. At the same time, I do think that failing it for a second year in a row would make a pretty big statement regarding the issues at Haverford. Still, I do not think that it would be productive to go to Special Plenary again, which I think students would still chose over letting the Honor Code disappear. Basically, what I want to say is that you should not take my yes (with objections) vote as an affirmation of the success of the Honor Code. The Honor Code exists, and says a lot of good things, but should not be used as an excuse for thinking that Haverford is doing well.

There remains a lack of consideration of compensation for member of the Customs teams. They perform so much labor and deserve more.

I was supremely disgusted by the way that the disagreeable anonymous comment was handled. It was the only anonymous comment not read fully out loud, and the writer was subsequently ridiculed, for ridiculing people. I disagree with the gentleman's suggestions but the way it was handled was unbecoming of a cordial Quaker group. Or any group for that matter. So if this persists in subsequent plenaries, I will vote against ratification.

I think what Daisy and Lourdes said at Plenary explains how I feel about the code. That to some extent it does guide how we live our lives at Haverford and what we define as acceptable but at the same time we should not determine how to live our lives at Haverford only based on the code. The code shouldn't determine whether we act respectfully to each other.

The code is a living document and thus will always have objections.

The code continues to need improvements to help make Haverford more inclusive.

I think the academic honor code is great, and no one is feeling another special plenary right now. But I'm not happy with the way we've all acted during the implementation of the new honor code. The changes to how faculty need to act under the social honor code are great, and the process of using that to fix problematic stuff the faculty does has been good. However, the social honor code as it pertains to interactions between students is fundamentally broken, and it seems like the new honor code has made the problem worse in that confrontations are a way to "start drama" with people that have different backgrounds or are ignorant when it seems like often working things out socially is far more effective and less likely to polarize people. Basically, I don't think the social honor code really does anything, and most people don't functionally change their behavior based on it. So it only comes in during tense politically-charged moments in a way that only results in more polarization. And the people that is ultimately going to hurt the most are those with "marginalized" identities on campus that are just trying to live their lives but have to be surrounded by intensified emotions around the social honor code and political discussions. I'm not articulating this well but basically everyone needs to take a step back and think about how every student on this campus is a complicated person that comes from a complicated background, and getting to
know people is much better than trying to figure out what problematic stuff they do. Like obviously there are some people on this campus that are incredibly toxic, and if you need to avoid them for your own health and safety that’s very important. But there’s other people that just came from a different background from the specific kind of liberal politics that is dominant on this campus, and people need to consider that they do have something to say. Everyone just needs to chill out, and start being very thoughtful about the way we’re treating each other.

I feel that the honor code is intrinsic to our community’s sustained wellbeing. That being said, I feel that certain portions of the student body do not adhere to the code in a fashion even close to the standards set for the rest of the community. Until enforcement of the honor code fully extends to all student—particularly white, male varsity athletes—I cannot day in full confidence that I trust our honor code. If large swaths of students flippantly disregard community standards, then why bother having it in the first place?

Perhaps the code should mandate active participation (not that that can force people to engage, but it will codify the expectation)

The actual text of the Code doesn't do much, and so the problems at Haverford can't be resolved by making edits to it. The solution has to come from a change in mentality of the students

Honor Code still not preventing all the microaggressions, transgressions, etc.

There is still a lot of work to be done. I acknowledge that Honor Council does not do much more than judicial work, but if they were able to craft resolutions personally based on the outreach that the committees do, and use that feedback, the resolutions might have more weight, people would feel like Honor Code and Honor Council is listening to them more, and perhaps people might take the resolution more seriously

All of what Lourdes and daisy said. People dont really care avkur the honour code beyond the academic part. The performative nature of the community

We need to improve faculty accountability to the code.

I still don't completely support the extra student council money going toward election campaigning because I don’t think it’s a good way to spend funds (e.g. pins are wasteful) and I don’t think it will help boost student engagement in elections. Otherwise, I support the rest of the amendments.

There are clearly things not going well with the code e.g the anonymous notes and people not willing to recognize that the institution was not made for certain people and how can we fix that.

I cannot in good conscious vote for ratification without objections due to the ways in which it was re-ratifi ed last spring. I served as an HCO for two years and I continue to support the spirit of the code as it is written. I personally feel as though my connection to the code has been lost in many ways. I think the process that rewrote the code last spring was largely responsible for my feelings. I would like to elaborate my feelings in this regard, however, the way this poll is set up, I can only see about 30 characters at a time, so I cannot see the sentences I am writing. That might be a worthwhile update to this form in the future.

I think that it fulfills my needs as member of the community, but I know other people’s experiences are different than mine. However, I personally think face to face interaction goes longer to the person than other ways. I understand that it’s uncomfortable, but it’s uncomfortable for both parties and people actually talk and figure things out better.

I still don’t like the part of the new resolution that gives $600 to campaign and I still have issues with the way that the honor code is enforced, but I do think that it does a lot of good for the campus. I just think that people generally overestimate the honor code’s effectiveness at governing the actions of those on this campus.

The honor code is flawed but at least we have something

I look forward to seeing how we as a community to live up to the code and transform it from what it was (a document built by and for mainly white men) to something that is actually representative and supportive of the diverse campus that we are now. Since it’s not yet in that place, it’s weird not to have objections, but I think the work we’re doing is worthy of ratifying. More than anything I hope and will work for change and community on this campus.

I agree with the issues faced with professors acting against the Code, but I’m not sure what further action can be taken (besides what has already been written), since we cannot make faculty actually sign the Code
There ought to be actually substantial protections against discrimination on the basis of political ideology.

I'm very not happy about the new campaign finance laws at Haverford. Very excited though for HaverCitizens United.

Broadly, the honor code still fails to support the needs of non-white, cis, male, students. After special plenary there has been no further attempt to make substantive change to the code. Perhaps there are no changes immediately available to be made. Still, I think amongst all the outcry over student apathy it needs to be considered that maybe there would be less of it if there were truly impactful and significant issues being discussed and brought forth. The question leading up to plenary should not have been "how can we make students care," it should have been asking what students actually care about.

The honor code should respect the right of students to hold diverse political opinions.

There are still many issues with the honor code, especially with people’s relation to it in their daily lives. Living on a first year hall, I feel strongly that many first years don’t have a good grasp of the importance of the code, nor do they feel it/embody it in their daily lives. With each new class, the Honor Code’s presence on campus dwindles more and more. Something needs to be done to rectify this.

While the honor code provides a outstanding framework for a respectful and egalitarian environment, there have been shortcomings mainly associated to the upholding of the honor code.

It's too long. It should be more concise, focused, and to the point.

The social code makes no mention of integrating environmental sustainability as a priority for day-to-day decision-making.

I believe that while the honor code is good, our execution and practice of the honor code is not as great.

The rewriting of the Code last year softened the language protecting political expression on campus. This decision marks the slow trend away from protecting students’ right to express themselves and promotes self-censorship out of fear of the Code. We ought to protect ideological diversity and civil discourse. The changes made last year impede upon our ability to skillfully engage in these communal tasks.

I object to student workers pay not having risen the last 10 yrs and to customs members not being paid.

I fear the Code has grown large enough that it is straying away from its core purpose as a declaration of our shared values.

I want to preserve the code since I think it is adequate as a whole, but I object to the current treatment of political ideology as protected by the code. Namely, I find the fact that it is not included alongside items such as racism and sexism to be concerning, since this country has a history of discriminating against people based on their political ideologies, such as the Red Scare and the McCarthy trials in the 20th century.

No one follows it?

I feel like the plenary is not the best way to encourage student engagement.

We sometimes pay too much attention to language of the code and forget to look at situations in the unique contexts that they take place. The language of the code applies differently to different contexts, and may even need some changing or tweaking and even forgiveness in certain situations - it's not something we should take as a given.

I believe the principles espoused by the Code are valid, I hope that the enforcement of the Code should be increased and harsher.

There still needs to be a serious rewriting and reconsideration of what the Honor Code actually means to the Haverford community and what it does.

I still believe that the code does not adequately protect people of color and members of the LGBT community. I think more has been done to improve the code in the past year, but it's still not enough. This campus is still an unsafe space for people of color and LGBT people, as demonstrated by multiple incidents in the past year since the ratification of the new Honor Code.
I still feel the new revisions still fail students of marginalized identities in some ways. The revisions do nothing to change attitudes.

I appreciate the spirit of the honor code and think that, in general, it improves campus life. However, I am concerned that there are many people, especially individuals who hold minority identities, that do not feel comfortable and protected under the Honor Code.

I think that if we make it obligatory for all students who are told about a cheating instance or who witness it to be forced to confront their peers, I think we create the sort of big brother is watching culture that I really don't like. I don't want to be a police person for everyone else. Part of me thinks that if you cheat and you get away with it, then that's not necessarily the responsibility of the community, but that is something that you will have to live with that for the rest of your life. And I'm okay with that being the end result this cheating instance.

I believe that perhaps we can find a way to hold the other members of our community, besides students, to the honor code or at least to the values outlined in it if not the explicit honor code.

I don't know if my criticisms are so much with the code as how it manifests (really, doesn't manifest) in the community. People break especially the social code constantly, but are terrified of getting called out — saying something problematic shouldn't mean you are “cancelled” and automatically ostracized by your community, but should mean that you learn deeply what you did wrong and not do it again. We need to learn the difference between guilt/apology/anger and active self-betterment as a student body. Following what Lourdes and Daisy said, that means thinking far outside the code.

I ultimately believe that the Honor Code is the basis for the positive and communal spirit that characterizes the majority of social and academic interaction at Haverford. I think it is harder to see the benefits and supports the Honor Code provides than its flaws. With that being said, I feel that the Honor Code is also a flawed document. This is not a bad thing - we have an obligation as a community to constantly push the Honor Code and work to revise and improve it as a document. However, the lingering lack of accountability for professors at this school bothers me immensely. So many people at this school experience structural discrimination as well as struggle in the classroom due to a failure by professors and administrators to accommodate learning needs and diversities. This is something I have a large problem with. The Honor Code needs to obligate professors to read and ratify it. Additionally, I continue to worry about the process of Confrontation. I feel the current system, where individuals can be brought to Honor Council by those other than the aggrieved party does not successfully walk the line between accountability and preserving the emotional wellbeing of the aggrieved party. Overall, though, I am grateful for the Honor Code and all that it reflects about this school. We are growing and improving as a student body.

The social code is politically biased and is actually harmful for POC and minorities because it lacks conservative values.

It’s bullshit but yeah sure.

We all know the honor code has its problems. I hope that in the coming years the social honor code can especially be modified to give people even more tools aside from honor council (like the multicultural liaisons) that can help steer confrontation and conflict in a productive way.

I believe in the spirit of the Code and that it outlines values which we as a community should aspire to. However, I think that people need to take these values to heart more and actually apply them to their daily lives. I don't think social problems stem from the Code by any means, but I think that more accountability in terms of upholding those values we as a community claim to espouse would be good.

The second amendment is well intentioned, but extremely unrealistic, especially with regards to air travel.

While I believe the code works for some groups on campus, the code still disproportionately impacts POC.

I thank Lourdes Taylor and Daisy Zhan for sharing their wisdom and passion at Plenary, and echo their concerns. The Honor Code is not, sold probably never will be, sufficient, and I am concerned that we Haverford students are not looking beyond the Honor Code to find ways to live joyously together. Nevertheless, the Code as it stands provides important mechanisms for student self-governance. Can we build on--and beyond--these mechanisms? I hope so.
I believe we need to radically rethink plenary, and our community values, instead of trying to tweak the code. Only way to grow is to treat the underlying conditions.

The code needs to not be viewed as this holy thing and recognized as a piece of paper that offers guidelines not laws. Only then will there be actual change.

While there are many identity related issues on campus, I don't think they can be solved through the honor code, so I'm kind of apathetic about this.

It doesn't work equally for everyone.

I think having a code is better than all the code's areas of jurisdiction reverting to administrative control, and I still want the kind of people who are idealistic and committed enough to what the code stands for to be interested in HC. For that reason, to come to Haverford, but I don't feel like the code as it is written is particularly helpful for any of the problems we are facing as a school right now. We need to figure out how we can have healthy student-faculty relationships and make sure the school isn't staying afloat by exploiting marginalized students' labor, and the code is minimally helpful for solving either of those issues.

The newly added sections need to be fixed so that they are actually effective and there needs to be a section that ensures professors would also need to follow the code and be held responsible in the same way students are, especially when they say that all students need to follow the honor code in their syllabus.

I think the code could be valuable if people stop and think about it, and I worry that its failure last year delegitimized it in many people's eyes. However, there is no way to teach people to have a moral compass.

The Honor Code must embrace the tensions within it, tradition and change; it must be open about the biases within as a product of White Quaker men, but it must also transcend these limits. In my opinion, the less that is outlined, the better. But I have no serious objections for the time being.

I think the language around confrontation is improperly understood, and may in reality be placing expectations upon the student body that the student body is unwilling to perform, making it ineffective.

The only reason I am voting for it is that I don't think the honor code can do anything to help solve the problems on campus, and I also don't think that discourse surrounding the honor code is useful or productive.

The Code needs to better aid marginalized students and address discrimination on campus.

I believe that the words in the Code are not what defines the character of the student body or institution; it is the way that each student thoughtfully engages with the spirit of the Code in every aspect of their daily life. I fundamentally do not believe that this Code is being upheld by us, but my issue lies less with the text and more with our own discomfort in challenging ourselves for our actions that harm others and confronting our privileges. I am voting for ratification, but with the caveat that I will challenge myself to consider my place in this institution, my privileges, and how I exist in the communal space of Haverford every day.

It does not address the needs of marginalized identities the way it should.

I believe we are not fostering a real sense of community, and are not having honest conversations in good faith.

Sucks in the same way it sucked last year.

Although the Code is an important part of Haverford and the community, there needs to be more important conversations on topics outside the Code, as it is only a general guideline.

As long as this school is mostly made up of pampered/privileged students it isn't going to work right but it's still a good document to have.

It's the best we've got, but it's not like a document can force people not to be assholes (pardon the language).

I think the changes to quorum for positions further encourage people to be disengaged from the community, but ultimately feel that the honor code in its current form is sufficient to be ratified.

Q1_3_TEXT - I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Code, and I do not vote f...
I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Code, and I do not vote for its ratification for the following reason(s):

- It needs to be more inclusive, and I think this is best pursued through Special Plenary

  Same as what was said at Plenary. Ridiculous how you didn’t read it all the way through. You distorted worlds and lied to the community. If you and the rest of honor council feel good about looking yourselves in the mirror every night and thinking you objectively, honestly, and fully conveyed all sides then go ahead. You didn’t and that is sad and quite cowardly and because of the objections I have because honor council is dishonest and doesn’t focus on the core issues of the customs program running 3 individuals off of a hall in gummre (two having severe mental health problems because of it) then think you believe in mutual trust concern and respect. You guys are pathetic and a joke. Customs leaders threaten individuals, create hardships for freshman, and divide the community. That’s not what they are made to do. Also, if a member of honor council thinks that all republicans should have their veins ripped out of their heads and bleed out that’s another great reflection on you guys. Maurice you distorted my words and didn’t fully present my ideas for the community. That is quite sad and a horrible reflection on you and honor council. Hope you guys are happy for the environment you create because creating an environment that is divisive and belligerent is horrible. People here are some of the rudest least empathetic people I have ever met and you guys perpetuate this. Keep feeling that you are furthing your pursuit at equality but you guys are going backwards. This community isn’t perfect but has come a long way. But I digress what do my words mean to you guys. Nothing changes you will continue indoctrinate anti free speech inequality and divisiveness in the community. Hope close mindedness serves you guys well when entering the workforce because the real world doesn’t function like that. I know I sound harsh and cruel and I will get hate for this but I mean it in all due respect. I believe you all are good people just with some things we disagree on. I’m discontent with how this institution is run and I am expressing my views. I know you can call me names, be rude, and defame on campus but I’m doing what I feel is right. I wish you all the best of luck in your endeavors and I encourage you to consider what I am saying. Realistically will you all no but I can only ask. Lourdes and daisy you can have your substance nonfactual rebuttal and daisy to answer your question I do know what mutual trust concern and respect is I learned that in second grade. I’ll tell you it’s not having customs members make a girl transfer schools another friend of mine clinical diagnosed with depression because of his UCA and a UCA getting into a student face threatening them and no consequences occurring. If that’s concern and respect then YOU don’t know what those mean. Those close minded ideas will not serve you well because when you enter the workforce you have to listen to people who don’t agree with you. You won’t have honor council to shut down any opposition view point. Anyways, please don’t continue to defame me and make rumors about me on campus. I refuse to put up with you guys continuing to be bully’s.

I cannot vote for a code that is not student-written; Last spring’s interference by faculty and the administration in the writing process of the code means that our current code is not student-written.

I do not believe it actively reflects the student body of this campus and prioritizes honor code over any other activism

The online poll used to create the current Honor Code last Spring did not, to me, reflect the spirit of community, conversation, and discussion central to the ethos of the Honor Code and accordingly I do not vote in favor of its ratification.

Haverford students dont know how to enforce it

There’s no phenomenon that stands out for me in the need for ratification so far. There may be situations that I may not be aware of, but for now I cannot think of a part that needs immediate changes.

The honor code give students an excuse to ignore problems on campus and dismiss legitimate issues as potentially solveable by the honor code. Haverfords biggest problems cannot be solved by changes to the honor code.

I do not feel that it is working, and once again requires re-evaluation

I think the honor code does not actively support minority groups. I do not feel that the social honor code improves Haverfords atmosphere in a productive way. While I appreciate the academic code, I think, especially given recent changes, it also plays into currently existant systems of oppression.
Participation with the code is very low and the methods we have been traditionally using aren't working.

I don't believe the Honor Code is an effective system anymore. Students should understand that participation in a community is more than skin deep.

We need to reconsider the language about the academic freedom of professors in the classroom. No, they should not be able to say whatever they want.

Because special plenary is fucking dope.

I think it would be good to have another special plenary.

The honor code fails many students every day.

It will never do its job to protect marginalized students because it is literally impossible for it to do so. It is flawed and always will be.

The honor code is just an excuse for people to pretend they support marginalized communities but continue to constantly microaggress them and make them feel unwelcome on campus.

Cuz I said so.

I couldn't find a copy of the honor code with the resolutions in my emails? So I'm not sure?

I don't think the student body values the honor code past a superficial level and I don't think it's a good idea to perpetuate it while the student body refuses to deeply engage with it.

It's ineffective.

I absolutely do not vote to ratify the honor code. The honor council deliberately tried to censor and distort the concerns of the student body. It was evident Maurice did not want to disclose the exact language of what was said in the anonymous question at plenary. The letter did not make sense as an outside and, as the code preaches mutual trust, clearly our honor council President was lying. I felt betrayed. Not to mention, ironically, myself, as well as two other acquaintances, were bullied off our first-year halls because of the lack of oversight over student representatives and the systematic issues in the customs program. As many students have experienced mental health issues and depression due to the problems with respect for one another and the lack of a collaborative community, the honor council representatives deliberality worked against the wills of the students and exacerbated those issues. I cannot support people who disregard the concerns and well-being of the people they are supposed to represent. Not to mention the inappropriate comments such as “the veins of republicans should be ripped out of their body and they should bleed out to death” said by one of the honor council members. Mutual, trust, concern and respect is not represented by the honor council committee as they broke the code while trying to defend it. While they microaggressed the student body by your own definition. By your standards of the code it is not feasible for you to follow it so why impose it on others? Something is not working and you chose not to listen and chose not to allow discourse. This has only exacerbated the issue of polarization on campus. The goal is to be inclusive and promote collaboration among blacks, whites, transgenders, cisgenders, make, females, etc. Please act in the best interests of everyone and make all people feel adequate. Not sulk or make certain groups feel inadequate but encourage everyone to achieve a level of success. Instead of sulking who has the most privilege lets acknowledge our differences and use Haverford, and the phenomenal education and resources offered, as the mechanism to achieve a level of success unprecedented especially in certain family backgrounds. Let’s do this through increased collaboration and transparency. It starts from the top down with our honor council committee heads. Let’s work together to promote positive change and progress forward.

I think that the code the way it is now does not really function. I think we need to collectively write a new code and have a completely new format for plenary. I do not believe that any kinds of minor changes will allow the code to function the way this community needs it to. We need to let go of the old honor code and write a new one. The code gives us a false sense of security. Or at least “us” being those who think it a working mechanism to do what we want it to. I’m not sure Special Plenary is a solution, but it’s something that will wake us up again.
Nothing has substantially changed since we last had special plenary. Instead of sweeping that reality under the rug, we need to find a way for this community to reimagine itself or we need to abandon the pretense that we are in fact an intentional community with shared values.

The code fails too many people, and the events of the last year, especially at and after special plenary, just serves to prove that we don’t have an intentional community and so clearly the code needs to be revisited again.

Cuz I don’t wanna.

The current Social Honor Code and student culture are the embodiment of what I believe to be a very dangerous ideological tendency toward the valuing of vindictive identity politics over our formerly (and supposedly still) held communal values of trust, concern, and respect, which function out of the equal value and importance of each individual community member. (I would mention the Academic Code in this category as well, but administrative intervention last Spring semester has kept its content at a distance from the ideology embodied in the Social Honor Code and student culture.) Inasmuch as the Honor Code functions as a statement of shared values among the student body, I cannot say I share the values of vindictive identity politics as put forth in the current Honor Code. Inasmuch as the Honor Code is disciplinary code that serves as an alternative to administrative control of discipline, I honestly feel that administrators would treat me more fairly in a disciplinary hearing than a group of my fellow students because of the latter’s possession by a wholly unproductive and even directly harmful ideology of vindictive identity politics – an ideology which guides the construction, interpretation, and manifestation of both the Honor Code and student culture. Therefore, despite my former admiration of and current hope for an Honor Code which seeks to strengthen the sense of community among each of its individual members, I feel compelled to vote against the Code in its present form.

There are too many issues on both sides of the division presented at plenary. The rampant racism at this institution needs to be addressed and needs to be handled very carefully.

The honor code is fundamentally flawed.

It has failed before and should fail again. S. Plenary will benifit Haverford.